

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/817,616	DURHAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(2) Douglas Swartz.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2007

**Time:** 7 pm e.t.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*28-54*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant explained the difference in scope between claims 28, 37 and 46, and authorized an examiner's amendment to correct the dependencies of claims 47-54.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)